

















Recommendations for the **Future of Coastal Altimetry**

P. Cipollini and the COASTALT Team



A list of recommendations...

- ...that we have come up with at the end of the COASTALT Project (summer 2011)
- these are by no means exhaustive and are presented here with the sole purpose of stimulating further discussion.
- ...and ideally, we should come up with a refined/better list at the end of this Workshop.



- Further work is needed on the retrackers, both theoretical and in terms of optimization and intercalibration
 - "Theoretical" means improvement of the existing models (eg, by including the effect of white caps) or the development of new models (eg scattering from non-linear surfaces).
 - "Optimization and intercalibration" includes not only runtime optimization, but, most importantly, an assessment of biases and other differences amongst different retrackers, and the development of a criteria for retracker selection



Recommendation 1 (cont.)

 We believe that an international exercise for the intercalibration of the various retrackers would be extremely beneficial and that the Space Agencies should support this



- Innovative retrackers (which use information in adjacent waveforms) need further R&D to move from concepts to simulations and eventually confrontation with real data
 - This is a most promising field, already identified in Phase 1 and the difficulties in the development and implementation of some of the ideas tested (Bayes Linear Retracker, 2-D retracker) should not deter from pursuing further development, with the hope of achieving a full validation of these innovative techniques.



 To facilitate the work of developers, testers, and the uptake of the data by 'expert users', coastal altimetry processors must be open, flexible, expandable, easily upgradable and fully documented.



- The issue of filtering of the various corrections needs to be revisited. Correlation scales must be clearly identified and data screening and filtering schemes clearly recommended [these may depend on the application to some extent]
 - A good example is the work carried out in D2.4 regarding the correlation scales for the tropospheric corrections. But other corrections that crucially need a better assessment of the optimal filtering scheme are the ionospheric and the SSB.
 - A related issue is to see which corrections, if any, need to be computed at full rate (18Hz) and which can be interpolated from lower rate data



- The SSB correction should be reassessed in the coastal zone, with investigation of specific models
 - This is a recommendation is the outcome of agreement at the various Coastal Altimetry workshops and is perceived as scientifically challenging, but conducive to very useful results.



- Validation is crucial and should be supported further
 - developing consistent validation protocols and assessments that can be applied to a number of locations with varying geographical and oceanographic conditions.



- The techniques developed in COASTALT, PISTACH and similar projects, and the relevant processors, should be extended to ensure multi-mission and multi-domain capability.
 - In particular to the new and forthcoming Delay-Doppler altimetry missions (Cryosat-2, SRAL on Sentinel-3) and to AltiKa, which have intrinsically better capabilities in the coastal zone.
 - This multi-mission capability is one of the objectives, for instance, of the follow-on work to COASTALT in the ESA DUE eSurge project, which has just started (June 2011).
 - we need to work closely with the ice & inland waters communities



- Coastal Altimetry applications should be supported and encouraged, with easy data access, outreach and training activities, and demonstration studies
 - The eSurge project is a clear example of the transition to applications, but several other possible applications are listed and described in the OceanObs'09 Community White Paper on Coastal Altimetry (Cipollini et al, 2010)

